
APPENDIX:

A.1 The Environmental Values and Attitudes Survey 1993

The Survey has been made by Norwegian Social Science Dataservice in spring 1993. The Sampling is based on a simple random sample¹ of persons in ages 16 to 79 years in december 1992 from the Central Personal Register , which contains information of all legal residents of Norway. This allows for a good sampling frame of the population, not available in many countries. The Data has been collected by mail enquete by NSD in the period from 10. february to 30.april 1993. A reminder were sent out in 17th of february and a new questionnaire with a request for answering were sent 3rd of March and 12th of March.

As we can see in Table 4.1, the sample consists of 1414 persons, which must be viewed as adequate for most purposes, even if it does not allow for a extensive analysis of subpopulations. Which is unfortunate, since analysis of subpopulations is often a efficient technique to examine nonlinear relations, and the limitations a theory has. We can also see that the adjusted sampling rate is 63.3%, which is satisfactory and in the range of where most of the mail-enquete surveys are.
The Survey seems also to be well representative for the population.

A. Brute-sample	2300
B. Missing	-886
1. Refusal	101
2. Death, sickness, family matters	21
3. Returned by the Postal Service, moved abroad	48
4. Schema refused	11
5. No contact	705
C. Net-sample	1414
responcerat e based on brute- sample	61.4 %

Table A.1 : Response to the Survey

¹ Simple Random Sample is a makes many of the subsequent analytic techniques much easier, than if there had been used other probability sampling techniques like, multistage (or cluster), or probability proportional to size sampling. Had there been used a nonprobability technique it would have been impossible to use statistical theory to examine the properties of the estimators used, and thereby estimate the precision of the results achieved. (Kalton, 1985, chp 1-2, p.90)

A.2 RECODING

```

COMMENT THIS IS SYNTAX FILE FOR RECODING MILJI94 SURVEY FOR
THE CULTURAL THEORY AND THE THREE DIFFERENT STRATEGIES
LOOK FOR OWN FILES FOR EACH STRATEGY, HERE I DEVELOPE THE
COMMON VARIABLES
started 16.8.94.

GET
FILE='d:\H\DATAFIL\MILJI93.SAV'.

Comment RECODING to make positive attitude to the culture to 1 c for culture,
second letter to identify bias.

RECODE
p99 p100 p101 p102 p103 p104 p105 p106 p107 p108 p109
(1=1) (2=0.75) (3=0.5) (4=0.25) (5=0) (MISSING=SYSMIS) (ELSE=SYSMIS)
INTO ch1 ci3 ce1 ci1 ce2 cf1 ch2 ci2 ce3 cf2 ce4.

* The statement is reversed in order to provide proper direction for fatalism.

RECODE
p109
(1=0) (2=0.25) (3=0.5) (4=0.75) (5=1) (MISSING=SYSMIS) (ELSE=SYSMIS)
INTO cf3.

VARIABLE LABELS ch1 "One of the problems with people today/ challenge authority too often"
ci3 "In a fair system, people with more ability should earn more"
cel "The world needs a fairn revn/distrib of goods more equal"
cil "Everyone should have an eq chance succ/fail WOUT gvmnt intrf"
ce2 "I sprt a t-shift/brdn falls m/heavily on corp&pers lrg incs"
cf1 "Cooperation with others rarely works"
ch2 "The b way to provide for ftr gen is to prsrx our cstms/hrtg"
ci2 "If pepl have vsn/ ablt to acq prop, ought tb allwd t enj it"
ce3 "Big corps are responsible for most of the evil in the world"
cf2 "It seems that whomever u vote for thngs goon prtty m thesame"
cf3 "No Consumer can them selves help to solve envir. problems"
ce4 "Consumers can them selves help to solve envir. problems".

VALUE LABELS ch1 to ce4
0 "disagree strongly"
0.25 "disagree"
0.5 "neither"
0.75 "agree"
1 "agree strongly"
.

** THE CULTURAL BIAS INDEXES 18011995 First every question is standardized and
then the Z-scores are averadged .

DESCRIPTIVES
VARIABLES=ch1 ch2 cil ci2 ci3 cel ce2 ce3 cf1 cf2 /SAVE
/FORMAT=LABELS NOINDEX
/STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV MIN MAX
/SORT=MEAN (A) .

COMPUTE hierarch = MEAN(Zch1, Zch2) .
VARIABLE LABELS hierarch 'Hierarchy' .

COMPUTE Individu = MEAN(Zcil, Zci2,zci3) .
VARIABLE LABELS Individu 'Individualism' .

COMPUTE Egalitar = MEAN(Zcel, Zce2) .
VARIABLE LABELS Egalitar 'Egalitarianism' .

COMPUTE Fatalism = MEAN(Zcf1, Zcf2, Zce3) .
VARIABLE LABELS Fatalism 'Fatalism' .

* Cultural bias categorized in 4 mutually exclusive categories (for the coherent individual).
STRING cultbiaZ (A8).
IF (MAX(hierarch, Individu, Fatalism, Egalitar) = hierarch) cultbiaz = "hierarch" .
IF (MAX(hierarch, Individu, Fatalism, Egalitar) = Individu) cultbiaz = "individu" .
IF (MAX(hierarch, Individu, Fatalism, Egalitar) = Egalitar) cultbiaz = "egalitar" .
IF (MAX(hierarch, Individu, Fatalism, Egalitar) = Fatalism) cultbiaz = "fatalism" .

```

```

IF (cultbiaz = '') cultbiaz = "missing" .
VARIABLE LABELS cultbiaz 'Cultbias from Z cultmeans' .

*** Defined to exclude string 'missing' from the analysis.
MISSING VALUES
  cultbiaz ('missing' 'uklar/he') .
Execute.

***** Education measured in Years, if it is possible at all.

* RECODE
  almenutd
  (1=6) (2=8) (3=9) (4=10) (5=12) (6=12) INTO educyear.
* RECODE
  yrkesutd
  (1=9) (2=12) INTO educyear.
* RECODE
  uniutd
  (1=13) (2=15) (3=17) INTO educyear .

RENAME VARIABLE p157 = EducYear .
COMPUTE Educyear = educyear/10.

VARIABLE LABELS educyear 'Education in years'.
RENAME VARIABLE
  faar=brthyear
  kj=Gender
  uniutd=EducUniv
  almenutd=EducGene
  yrkesutd=EducCrft.

RECODE
  Gender
  (1=0) (2=1) into Gender.
VALUE LABELS
  Gender
  0 'male' 1 'female'.

VARIABLE LABELS
  brthyear 'Year of Birth'
  Gender 'Gender (f=1)'
  EducUniv 'University Education 3 levels'
  EducCrft 'A Craftsmans Education'
  EducGene 'Compulsory Education'.

**** Party Preference in one variable and a series of Dummies.
RECODE
  p176
  (1=1) (2=3) (3=8) (4=7) (5=6) (6=4) (7=2)
  (8=5) (9=9) (10=10) (11=11) INTO Partypref .
VARIABLE LABELS Partypref 'Vote if today'.
VALUE LABELS Partypref
  1 'RV' 2 'SV' 3 'DNA' 4 'Sp'
  5 'V' 6 'Krf' 7 'H'
  8 'Frp' 9 'Other' 10 "DontKnow"
  11 'Wontvote' .

RECODE
  Partypref
  (1=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_RV .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_RV 'R°d valgalianse'.

RECODE
  Partypref
  (2=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_sv .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_sv 'Socialistic Left'.
VALUE LABELS pref_sv 0 'Other' 1 'SV'.

RECODE
  Partypref
  (3=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_DNA .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_DNA 'Labor Party'.

```

```

VALUE LABELS pref_DNA 0 'Other' 1 'DNA'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (4=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_SP .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_SP 'Agrarian Party'.
VALUE LABELS pref_SP 0 'Other' 1 'SP'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (5=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_V .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_V 'Liberal'.
VALUE LABELS pref_V 0 'Other' 1 'V'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (6=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_Krf .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_Krf 'Christian Party'.
VALUE LABELS pref_Krf 0 'Other' 1 'Krf'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (7=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_H .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_H 'Conservative Party'.
VALUE LABELS pref_H 0 'Other' 1 'H'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (8=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_Frp .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_Frp 'Progress Party'.
VALUE LABELS pref_Frp 0 'Other' 1 'Frp'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (9=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_Oth .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_Oth 'Some other Party'.
VALUE LABELS pref_Oth 0 'Other' 1 'Some Other'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (10=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_Don .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_Don 'Dont Know'.
VALUE LABELS pref_Don 0 'Other' 1 'Dont Know'.

RECODE
  Partyprf
  (11=1) (SYSMIS=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO pref_Won .
VARIABLE LABELS pref_Won 'Wont Vote'.
VALUE LABELS pref_Won 0 'Other' 1 'Wont vote'.

RENAME VARIABLE p174 = LeftRigh.
RENAME VARUABLE p173 = Class.

RECODE
  p134
    (1=1) (2=1) (3=0) (4=0) (5=0) INTO Private.
  VALUE LABELS Private
    1 'Private sector' 0 'Public sector'.
  VARIABLE LABEL Private 'Sector of employment Dummy'.

STRING sector (A8) .
DO IF (p125 = 1) .
RECODE
  p127_1
    ('0'='0') ('1'='1') ('2'='2') ('3'='3') ('4'='4') ('5'='5')
    ('6'='6') ('7'='7') ('8'='8') ('9'='9') ('A'='A') ('X'='X') INTO
    sector .
END IF .

DO IF (p125 = 2) .
RECODE
  p137_1
    ('0'='0') ('1'='1') ('2'='2') ('3'='3') ('4'='4') ('5'='5')
    ('6'='6') ('7'='7') ('8'='8') ('9'='9') ('A'='A') ('X'='X') INTO
    sector .
END IF .

```

```

sector .
END IF .

VARIABLE LABELS sector 'Sector of employment'.
VALUE LABELS
  Sector
    '0'='Tech., Hum, Scient.'
    '1'='Administration'
    '2'='Officework'
    '3'='Trade'
    '4'='Farm., Forrest, Fish,
    '5'='Mining'
    '6'='Post., Transport., Kommun.'
    '7'='Industry'
    '8'='Industry'
    '9'='Services'
    'A'='Military'
    'X'='Unclassifiable'.

RECODE
  leftright
  (1 thru 3=-1) (4 thru 7=0) (8 thru 10=1) INTO L_or_R .
VARIABLE LABELS L_or_R 'Left or Right'.
Value LABELS
  L_or_R
    -1 = 'Leftist'
    0 = 'ambiguous'
    1 = 'Right-wing'.

RENAME VARIABLES alder=age.
STRING agegrp (A8) .
RECODE
  age
  (Lowest thru 30='younger') (30 thru 50='middle') (50 thru Highest='older') INTO agegrp .
VARIABLE LABELS agegrp 'Age Groups'.

RECODE
  agegrp
  ('younger'=1) ('middle'=2) ('older'=3) (ELSE=SYSMIS) INTO agegrp_n .
VARIABLE LABELS agegrp_n 'Agegroups numbered'.

RECODE
  age
  (30 thru 40=3) (Lowest thru 30=2) (40 thru 50=4) (50 thru 60=5) (60
  thru 70=6) (70 thru Highest=7) INTO age_6 .
VARIABLE LABELS age_6 'Age in 6 categories'.
VALUE LABELS AGE_6
  2 '<30'
  3 '>30'
  4 '>40'
  5 '>50'
  6 '>60'
  7 '>70'.

EXECUTE .

RENAME VARIABLES p178=income_P.
RENAME VARIABLES p179=income_H.

RENAME VARIABLES status=position.
VARIABLE LABELS position 'Position in jobb/sosial life'.
VALUE LABELS
  position
    1='Unskil.labor'
    2='Skilled labor'
    3='Non-man. unskil.'
    4='Non-man. school'
    5='Non-man. college'
    6='Non-man. univers'
    7='Agric.Fish.'
    8='Selfempl.'
    9='Unklas.jobb'
    10='Retired'
    11='Student'

```

```

12='soc.sec.,Unempl.'
13='Homework.' .

RENAME Variables p140=soclposi.
VARIABLE LABEL soclposi 'Social Position'.
Value labels soclposi
    1='working'
    2='student'
    3='retired'
    4='sos.support'
    5='work home'
    6='unemployed'
    7='other'.

COMPUTE BIAS_SUM = hierarch+individu+egalitar+fatalism .
EXECUTE .

*** RECODINGS FOR the SEQUENTIAL INDIVIDUAL APPROACH.

*** single culture addherents in top 30% of own culture and
not in the top 40 % of other cultures .

STRING monohier (A8).
IF ((hierarch >0.454) & (Individu <0.192) & (Egalitar <0.40)
& ( Fatalism <0.124)) monohier = 'monohier' .
STRING monoindi (A8).
IF ((Hierarch < 0.054) & (Individu >0.401) & (Egalitar <0.40)
& ( Fatalism <0.124)) monoindi = 'monoindi' .
STRING monoegal (A8).
IF ((Hierarch < 0.054) & (Individu <0.192) & (Egalitar >= 0.481)
& ( Fatalism <0.124)) monoegal = 'monoegal' .
STRING monofata (A8).
IF ((Hierarch < 0.054) & (Individu <0.192) & (Egalitar <0.40)
& ( Fatalism >0.334)) monofata = 'monofata' .

RECODE
    monohier monoindi monoegal monofata (' ='other') .

*** double culture addherents in 30% of two cultures and
not in the topp 40% of the others in separate variables .

STRING bi_HI (A8).
IF ((Hierarch > 0.454) & (Individu >0.401) & (Egalitar <0.40)
& ( Fatalism <0.124)) bi_HI = 'bi_HI' .

STRING bi_HE (A8).
IF ((Hierarch > 0.454) & (Egalitar > 0.481) & (Individu <0.192)
& ( Fatalism <0.124)) bi_HE = 'bi_HE' .

STRING bi_HF (A8).
IF ((Hierarch > 0.454) & ( Fatalism >0.334) & (Egalitar <0.40)
& (Individu <0.192)) bi_HF = 'bi_HF' .

STRING bi_IE (A8).
IF ((Individu > 0.401) & (Egalitar >0.481) & (Hierarch <0.054)
& ( Fatalism <0.124)) bi_IE = 'bi_IE' .

STRING bi_IF (A8).
IF ((Individu > 0.401) & ( Fatalism >0.334) & (Hierarch <0.054)
& (Egalitar <0.40)) bi_IF = 'bi_IF' .

STRING bi_EF (A8).
IF ((Egalitar > 0.481) & ( Fatalism >0.334) & (hierarch <0.25)
& (Individu <0.25)) bi_EF = 'bi_EF' .

** triple and quadruple combinations.

```

```
** no F.
STRING tri_HIE (A8).
IF ((Egalitar > 0.481) & ( Fatalism < 0.124 ) & (hierarch >0.454 )
& (Individu >0.401)) tri_HIE = 'tri_HIE' .
** no E.
STRING tri_HIF (A8).
IF ((Egalitar < 0.40) & ( Fatalism >0.334 ) & (hierarch >0.454 )
& (Individu >0.401)) tri_HIF = 'tri_HIF' .
** no I.
STRING tri_HEF (A8).
IF ((Egalitar > 0.481) & ( Fatalism >0.334 ) & (hierarch >0.454 )
& (Individu < 0.192)) tri_HEF = 'tri_HEF' .
** no H.
STRING tri_IEF (A8).
IF ((Egalitar > 0.481) & ( Fatalism >0.334 ) & (hierarch < 0.054 )
& (Individu > 0.401)) tri_IEF = 'tri_IEF' .

** This is the autonomous, or heremit group.
STRING q_HIEF (A8).
IF ((Egalitar > 0.481) & ( Fatalism >0.334 ) & (hierarch > 0.454 )
& (Individu > 0.401)) q_HIEF = 'q_HIEF' .
```

***** Cultural bias: single and Dual.

```
STRING Culture (A8).
IF monohier ='monohier' culture = 'monohier'.
IF monoindi ='monoindi' culture = 'monoindi'.
IF monoegal ='monoegal' culture = 'monoegal'.
IF monofata ='monofata' culture = 'monofata'.
IF bi_HI ='bi_HI' culture = 'bi_HI'.
IF bi_HE ='bi_HE' culture = 'bi_HE'.
IF bi_HF ='bi_HF' culture = 'bi_HF'.
IF bi_IE ='bi_IE' culture = 'bi_IE'.
IF bi_IF ='bi_IF' culture = 'bi_IF'.
IF bi_EF ='bi_EF' culture = 'bi_EF'.
```

```
VARIABLE LABELS culture 'Cultural bias single and combinations'.
MISSING VALUES Culture (" ") .
```

***** Cultural bias: mono, bi and tri_ cultural.

```
STRING Culture3 (A8).
IF monohier ='monohier' Culture3 = 'mono_H'.
IF monoindi ='monoindi' Culture3 = 'mono_I'.
IF monoegal ='monoegal' Culture3 = 'mono_E'.
IF monofata ='monofata' Culture3 = 'mono_F'.
IF bi_HI ='bi_HI' Culture3 = 'bi_HI'.
IF bi_HE ='bi_HE' Culture3 = 'bi_HE'.
IF bi_HF ='bi_HF' Culture3 = 'bi_HF'.
IF bi_IE ='bi_IE' Culture3 = 'bi_IE'.
IF bi_IF ='bi_IF' Culture3 = 'bi_IF'.
IF bi_EF ='bi_EF' Culture3 = 'bi_EF'.
IF tri_HIE ='tri_HIE' Culture3 = 'tri_HIE'.
IF tri_HIF ='tri_HIF' Culture3 = 'tri_HIF'.
IF tri_HEF ='tri_HEF' Culture3 = 'tri_HEF'.
IF tri_IEF ='tri_IEF' Culture3 = 'tri_IEF'.
IF q_HIEF ='q_HIEF' Culture3 = 'Heremit'.
```

```
VARIABLE LABELS Culture3 'Cultural bias single and combinations'.
MISSING VALUES Culture3 (" ") .
```

```
STRING Culttype (A8) .
RECODE
  culture3
    ('mono_H'='mono')  ('mono_E'='mono')  ('mono_I'='mono')
    ('mono_F'='mono')  ('bi_HI'='bi')    ('bi_HE'='bi')    ('bi_HF'='bi')
    ('bi_IE'='bi')    ('bi_IF'='bi')    ('bi_EF'='bi')    ('tri_HIE'='tri')
    ('tri_HIF'='tri')  ('tri_HEF'='tri')  ('tri_IEF'='tri')  ('Heremit'='quadra')
  INTO Culttype .
VARIABLE LABELS Culttype 'Mono-, bi-, tri- or quadra-cultural bias'.
MISSING VALUES CultType (" ") .
```

```

RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=1)  ('bi'=2)  ('tri'=3)  ('quadra'=4)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  CultB_nr .
VARIABLE LABELS CultB_nr 'Number of Cultural Biases'.
VALUE LABELS CultB_nr
  1 'mono'
  2 'bi'
  3 'tri'
  4 'quadra'.

RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=1)  ('bi'=0)  ('tri'=0)  ('quadra'=0)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n1 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n1 'Mono Cultural Bias Dummy'.
RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=0)  ('bi'=1)  ('tri'=0)  ('quadra'=0)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n2 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n2 'bi-Cultural Bias Dummy'.
RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=0)  ('bi'=0)  ('tri'=1)  ('quadra'=0)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n3 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n3 'Tri- Cultural Bias Dummy'.
RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=0)  ('bi'=0)  ('tri'=0)  ('quadra'=1)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n4 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n1 'Mono Cultural Bias Dummy'.

RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=0)  ('bi'=1)  ('tri'=SYSMIS)  ('quadra'=SYSMIS)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n12 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n12 'Mono vs. bi Cultural Bias Dummy (bi=1)'.

RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=sysmis)  ('bi'=0)  ('tri'=1)  ('quadra'=SYSMIS)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n23 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n23 'Bi vs. Tri-Cultural Bias Dummy, (tri=1)'.

RECODE
  culttype
  ('mono'=sysmis)  ('bi'=sysmis)  ('tri'=0)  ('quadra'=1)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO
  Cult_n34 .
VARIABLE LABELS Cult_n34 'Tri- vs. quadra- Cultural Bias Dummy, (Q=1)'.

AUTORECODE
  VARIABLES=position /INTO positi_n
  /PRINT.

RECODE
  partyprf
  (Lowest thru 9=1)  (10 thru Highest=0)  (ELSE=SYSMIS)  INTO voter_d .
VARIABLE LABELS voter_d 'The voters vs. non-voters'.
EXECUTE .

*****
* RECODINGS FOR Synthetic Individual Approach .

*** Clusters of the Four Cultures.
QUICK CLUSTER
  hierarch individu egalitar fatalism
  /MISSING=LISTWISE
  /CRITERIA= CLUSTER(16) MXITER(30) CONVERGE(.01)

```

```

/METHOD=KMEANS (NOUPDATE)
/SAVE CLUSTER (cultqc16)
/PRINT INITIAL anova.

VARIABLE LABELS cultqc16
  'Cultural Biases in 16 Culstters'.
* based on ABS value >= 0.5.
VALUE LABELS cultqc16
  1 'e'
  2 'hiEf'
  3 'none'
  4 'hE'
  5 'f'
  6 'HEF'
  7 'He'
  8 'hIe'
  9 'IEF'
 10 'HIE'
 11 'hie'
 12 'HIE'
 13 'hIE'
 14 'Ief'
 15 'F'
 16 'HIEF'.

RECODE
  p172
  (1 thru 4=1) (5 thru 6=0) (ELSE=SYSMIS) INTO GoChurch.
VARIABLE LABELS GoChurch 'Goes frequently to Church'.
VALUE LABELS GoChurch 0 'Non Frequently' 1 'Several times a year'.

RECODE
  sector
  ('4'=1) (MISSING=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO farmer_D .
VARIABLE LABELS farmer_D 'farmers, fishermen, agric.'.
EXECUTE .

*** Dummy variables for Social Position.
RECODE
  soclposi
  (6=1) (MISSING=SYSMIS) (ELSE=0) INTO unempl_D .
VARIABLE LABELS unempl_D 'Dummy for Unemployed'.

RECODE
  soclposi
  (MISSING=SYSMIS) (3=1) (ELSE=0) INTO Retire_D .
VARIABLE LABELS Retire_D 'Dummy for Retired'.

RECODE
  soclposi
  (MISSING=SYSMIS) (3=1) (ELSE=0) INTO SOCSUP_D .
VARIABLE LABELS SOCSUP_D 'Dummy for Social Support'.

*** Dummies for CLASS IDENTITY.
RECODE
  class
  (MISSING=SYSMIS) (3 thru 4=1) (ELSE=0) INTO Middle_D .
VARIABLE LABELS Middle_D 'Dummy for Middleclass Identity'.

RECODE
  class
  (MISSING=SYSMIS) (1=1) (ELSE=0) INTO Labor_D .
VARIABLE LABELS Middle_D 'Dummy for Labor Identity'.

EXECUTE .

```

A.3 STATISTICAL TABLES

A.3.1 Sequential Individual

A.4 Synthetized Individual

RESULTS FROM LOGIT: PREDICTING PROBABILITES OF PARTY PREFERENCE.

```
áááááá
-> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_SV
-> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch_individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
-> gender_income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
-> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
-> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
-> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
-> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .
```

CULTURE3 Cultural bias single and combinations by PARTYPRF

```
COMPUTE filter_$=((partypref ~= 9) & (cultb_nr <= 2)).
VARIABLE LABEL filter_$ '(partypref ~= 9) & (cultb_nr <= 2)' (FILTER)'.
```

Adj Res	CULTURE3	RV	SV	DNA	Sp	V	Krf	H	Frp	DontKnow	Wontvote	Row Total
		1,00	2,00	3,00	4,00	5,00	6,00	7,00	8,00	10,00	11,00	
bi_EF		2,0	3,0	-1,8	,0	-1,2	-1,8	-2,2	,0	1,7	1,2	49 8,3%
bi_HE		-,8	-,7	2,0	,7	,8	2,4	-2,4	-1,1	,2	-,8	45 7,6%
bi_HF		-,7	-1,9	-,2	,7	,1	1,5	-2,3	,6	1,9	1,9	36 6,1%
bi_HI		-,8	-2,8	-2,0	-,8	-,1	-,3	4,2	4,7	-,6	-1,6	45 7,6%
bi_IE		1,0	-,7	1,1	-2,0	-,9	-,6	1,1	-,7	1,2	-,4	32 5,4%
bi_IF		-,6	-1,8	-,8	1,5	,4	-1,3	2,3	1,2	-1,0	-,2	26 4,4%
mono_E		1,8	7,9	2,4	-,1	,6	-,3	-5,2	-3,8	-1,8	-2,0	159 26,9%
mono_F		-,8	-,8	-,2	,3	,6	-1,2	-1,7	-,7	1,4	4,4	53 9,0%
mono_H		-,9	-2,6	,1	1,3	,4	3,4	,9	-,9	-,6	-,5	60 10,1%
mono_I		-1,1	-4,0	-1,7	-1,1	-,9	-1,4	7,0	2,8	-,8	-,6	87 14,7%
Column Total		7	101	105	61	15	33	121	36	85	28	592 100,0%

Statistic	Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi	,70797			,00000 *1
Cramer's V	,23599			,00000 *1
Lambda :				
symmetric	,14712	,01605	9,06800	
with CULTURE3 dependent	,11547	,02083	5,33678	
with PARTYPRF dependent	,17622	,02222	7,53416	
Goodman & Kruskal Tau :				
with CULTURE3 dependent	,08017	,00961	,00000 *2	
with PARTYPRF dependent	,07824	,00991	,00000 *2	

*1 Pearson chi-square probability

*2 Based on chi-square approximation

Number of Missing Observations: 0

Table A.2 : The mono and bi cultural biases effect on party preference in adj.res.

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
 Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number of unselected cases: 0

 Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number rejected because of missing data: 204
 Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable Encoding:

Original Value	Internal Value
,00	0
1,00	1

	Value	Freq	Parameter Coding (1)
MIDDLE_D	,00	533	,000
	1,00	677	1,000
LABOR_D	,00	871	,000
	1,00	339	1,000
GOCHURCH			
Non Frequently	,00	948	,000
Several times a year	1,00	262	1,000
FARMER_D	,00	1155	,000
	1,00	55	1,000
GENDER			
male	,00	613	,000
female	1,00	597	1,000

Dependent Variable.. PREF_SV Socialistic Left

Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function

-2 Log Likelihood 982,18751

* Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
 INDIVIDU Individualism
 EGALITAR Egalitarianism
 FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 849,122
 Goodness of Fit 1219,243

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	133,065	4	,0000
Improvement	133,065	4	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_SV
 Predicted
 Other SV Percent Correct
 O ö S
 Observed
 Other Ö 1029 ö 11 ö 98,94%
 Ö 1029 ö 11 ö 98,94%
 SV S ö 151 ö 19 ö 11,18%
 Ö 151 ö 19 ö 11,18%
 Overall 86,61%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,5247	,1195	19,2669	1	,0000	,1326	,5917
INDIVIDU	,6724	,1275	27,8303	1	,0000	,1622	,5105
EGALITAR	,8079	,1458	30,7201	1	,0000	,1710	2,2433
FATALISM	,0290	,1357	,0458	1	,8305	,0000	1,0295
Constant	-2,1756	,1083	403,2962	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. AGE Alder
 GENDER Gender (f=1)
 INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
 LABOR_D
 GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
 FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
 MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 814,796
 Goodness of Fit 1217,661

Chi-Square df Significance

Model Chi-Square	34,326	7	,0000
Improvement	34,326	7	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_SV

		Predicted		Percent Correct
		Other	SV	
		O	ö	S
Observed	Other	ö	1027	ö
	SV	ö	147	ö
		Overall		86,78%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,3706	,1272	8,4797	1	,0036	,0874	,6904
INDIVIDU	,7081	,1324	28,6101	1	,0000	,1770	,4926
EGALITAR	,8364	,1507	30,8074	1	,0000	,1842	2,3081
FATALISM	,0355	,1415	,0630	1	,8019	,0000	1,0361
AGE	-,0203	,0067	9,0565	1	,0026	,0912	,9799
GENDER(1)	,2024	,1950	1,0769	1	,2994	,0000	1,2243
INCOME_P	-,0257	,0548	,2193	1	,6396	,0000	,9747
LABOR_D(1)	-,3048	,2678	1,2953	1	,2551	,0000	,7373
GOCHURCH(1)	-1,0221	,2773	13,5911	1	,0002	,1168	,3598
FARMER_D(1)	-,1870	,5115	,1337	1	,7146	,0000	,8294
MIDDLE_D(1)	-,3881	,2380	2,6598	1	,1029	,0279	,6783
Constant	-,9452	,3516	7,2276	1	,0072		

Preceding task required 23,39 seconds elapsed.

```
--> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_dna
--> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch_individual egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
--> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
--> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
--> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .
```

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
 Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number rejected because of missing data: 204
 Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable... PREF_DNA Labor Party
 Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function
 -2 Log Likelihood 1216,4984
 * Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
 INDIVIDU Individualism
 EGALITAR Egalitarianism
 FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 1179,532
 Goodness of Fit 1204,719

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	36,966	4	,0000
Improvement	36,966	4	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_DNA
 Predicted

	Other	DNA	Percent Correct	
	O	Ö	D	
Observed	Ø	Ø	Ø	
Other	966	0	0	100,00%
DNA	244	0	0	,00%
	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
	Overall	79,83%		

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp(B)
HIERARCH	,2543	,0973	6,8303	1	,0090	,0630	1,2896
INDIVIDU	-,0759	,1072	,5012	1	,4790	,0000	,9269
EGALITAR	,5117	,1036	24,4140	1	,0000	,1357	1,6682
FATALISM	-,1586	,1093	2,1066	1	,1467	-,0094	,8533
Constant	-1,4340	,0757	359,0170	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. AGE Alder
 GENDER Gender (f=1)
 INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
 LABOR_D
 GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
 FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
 MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 1092,613
 Goodness of Fit 1223,425

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
--	------------	----	--------------

Model Chi-Square	86,919	7	,0000
Improvement	86,919	7	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_DNA								
		Predicted		Percent Correct				
		Other	DNA	Percent Correct				
Observed		O	Ö	D				
Other	O	953	13	Ö	98,65%			
DNA	D	216	28	Ö	11,48%			
				Overall	81,07%			

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,1160	,1064	1,1874	1	,2759	,0000	1,1230
INDIVIDU	-,1896	,1128	2,8263	1	,0927	-,0265	,8273
EGALITAR	,3963	,1090	13,2266	1	,0003	,0976	1,4864
FATALISM	-,3065	,1162	6,9566	1	,0084	-,0648	,7360
AGE	,0208	,0050	17,3425	1	,0000	,1140	1,0210
GENDER(1)	-,3970	,1701	5,4471	1	,0196	-,0541	,6723
INCOME_P	-,0222	,0477	,2163	1	,6419	,0000	,9781
LABOR_D(1)	,8574	,2338	13,4547	1	,0002	,0985	2,3571
GOCHURCH(1)	-,6668	,2094	10,1402	1	,0015	-,0831	,5134
FARMER_D(1)	-1,3239	,5376	6,0651	1	,0138	-,0587	,2661
MIDDLE_D(1)	-,2209	,2296	,9262	1	,3359	,0000	,8018
Constant	-2,0672	,3317	38,8383	1	,0000		

```
-->
--> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_sp
--> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
--> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
--> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
--> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE (20) .
```

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
Number of selected cases: 1414
Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
Number rejected because of missing data: 204
Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable.. PREF_SP Agrarian Party
Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function
-2 Log Likelihood 759,99854
* Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
INDIVIDU Individualism
EGALITAR Egalitarianism
FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because
Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 748,289

Goodness of Fit 1196,830

Chi-Square df Significance

Model Chi-Square	11,710	4	,0196
Improvement	11,710	4	,0196

Classification Table for PREF_SP

		Predicted			Percent Correct
		Other	SP	S	
Observed	Other	O	Ö	S	
		1095	1095	100,00%	
SP	S	115	115	115	,00%
					Overall 90,50%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,2841	,1330	4,5605	1	,0327	,0580	1,3286
INDIVIDU	-,2081	,1466	2,0156	1	,1557	-,0045	,8121
EGALITAR	-,0279	,1238	,0506	1	,8220	,0000	,9725
FATALISM	,2955	,1468	4,0511	1	,0441	,0520	1,3439
Constant	-2,2881	,1012	510,7282	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variables Entered on Step Number
 1.. AGE Alder
 GENDER Gender (f=1)
 INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
 LABOR_D
 GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
 FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
 MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
 parameter estimates changed by less than ,001

-2 Log Likelihood 669,731
 Goodness of Fit 1201,710

Chi-Square df Significance

Model Chi-Square	78,558	7	,0000
Improvement	78,558	7	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_SP

		Predicted			Percent Correct
		Other	SP	S	
Observed	Other	O	Ö	S	
		1088	1088	7	99,36%
SP	S	98	98	17	14,78%
					Overall 91,32%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,2187	,1485	2,1710	1	,1406	,0151	1,2445
INDIVIDU	-,1314	,1595	,6785	1	,4101	,0000	,8769
EGALITAR	,0764	,1396	,2998	1	,5840	,0000	1,0794
FATALISM	,2972	,1604	3,4333	1	,0639	,0438	1,3461
AGE	-,0069	,0069	,9836	1	,3213	,0000	,9931
GENDER(1)	-,1979	,2339	,7161	1	,3974	,0000	,8204
INCOME_P	,0622	,0646	,9264	1	,3358	,0000	1,0641
LABOR_D(1)	-,3015	,3204	,8856	1	,3467	,0000	,7397
GOCHURCH(1)	,8565	,2281	14,1002	1	,0002	,1272	2,3550
FARMER_D(1)	2,5053	,3161	62,8318	1	,0000	,2851	12,2473

MIDDLE_D(1)	- ,2011	,2873	,4896	1	,4841	,0000	,8179
Constant	-2,4063	,4228	32,3991	1	,0000		

```

-> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_krf
-> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
-> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
-> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
-> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
-> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
-> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .

```

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
Number of selected cases: 1414
Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
Number rejected because of missing data: 204
Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable.. PREF_KRF Christian Party

Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function

-2 Log Likelihood 562,38523

* Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
INDIVIDU Individualism
EGALITAR Egalitarianism
FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 548,554
Goodness of Fit 1226,529

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	13,831	4	,0079
Improvement	13,831	4	,0079

Classification Table for PREF_KRF
Predicted
Other Krf Percent Correct
O ö K
Observed
Other O ö 1135 ö 0 ö 100,00%
Krf K ö 75 ö 0 ö ,00%
Overall 93,80%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp(B)
HIERARCH	,5502	,1629	11,4116	1	,0007	,1294	1,7336
INDIVIDU	-,1926	,1791	1,1562	1	,2822	,0000	,8248
EGALITAR	,1284	,1553	,6839	1	,4082	,0000	1,1370
FATALISM	-,3164	,1781	3,1548	1	,0757	-,0453	,7288
Constant	-2,7948	,1278	478,1280	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. AGE Alder
GENDER Gender (f=1)
INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
LABOR_D
GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 6 because
Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 362,147
Goodness of Fit 1240,391

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	186,406	7	,0000
Improvement	186,406	7	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_KRF
Predicted
Other Krf Percent Correct
O ö K
Observed
Other O ö 1127 ö 8 ö 99,30%
Krf K ö 67 ö 8 ö 10,67%
Overall 93,80%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp(B)
HIERARCH	,3184	,1950	2,6662	1	,1025	,0348	1,3749
INDIVIDU	-,2262	,2218	1,0403	1	,3078	,0000	,7976
EGALITAR	-,0346	,1870	,0342	1	,8532	,0000	,9660
FATALISM	-,4194	,2213	3,5936	1	,0580	-,0539	,6574
AGE	,0121	,0085	2,0083	1	,1564	,0039	1,0121
GENDER(1)	,0739	,3127	,0558	1	,8133	,0000	1,0767
INCOME_P	-,3944	,0938	17,6677	1	,0000	-,1690	,6741
LABOR_D(1)	-,0413	,4937	,0070	1	,9333	,0000	,9595
GOCHURCH(1)	3,4433	,3732	85,1303	1	,0000	,3893	31,2890
FARMER_D(1)	-1,8039	1,0521	2,9395	1	,0864	-,0414	,1647
MIDDLE_D(1)	,4799	,4313	1,2382	1	,2658	,0000	1,6159
Constant	-4,3210	,6092	50,3136	1	,0000		

Preceding task required 24,49 seconds elapsed.

ááááá
->
-> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_H
-> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
-> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
-> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
-> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
-> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
-> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
Number of selected cases: 1414
Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
Number rejected because of missing data: 204
Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable.. PREF_H Conservative Party
Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function
-2 Log Likelihood 1138,3425
* Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
INDIVIDU Individualism
EGALITAR Egalitarianism
FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because
Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 974,066
Goodness of Fit 1207,019

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	164,277	4	,0000
Improvement	164,277	4	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_H
Predicted
Other H Percent Correct
O ö H
Observed Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö
 Other Ö 966 ö 27 ö 97,28%
 Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö
 H H ö 183 ö 34 ö 15,67%
 Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö Ö
 Overall 82,64%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp(B)
HIERARCH	-,0360	,1091	,1087	1	,7417	,0000	,9647
INDIVIDU	,7946	,1325	35,9404	1	,0000	,1727	2,2136
EGALITAR	-,7243	,0924	61,5114	1	,0000	-,2286	,4846
FATALISM	-,5497	,1263	18,9525	1	,0000	-,1220	,5771
Constant	-1,8071	,0919	386,5516	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. AGE Alder
GENDER Gender (f=1)
INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
LABOR_D
GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 904,636
Goodness of Fit 1348,548

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	69,430	7	,0000
Improvement	69,430	7	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_H

		Predicted			Percent Correct	
		Other	H			
		O	Ö	H		
Observed	Other	Ø	966	Ø	27	Ø
						97,28%
	H	H	Ø	162	Ø	55
					Ø	25,35%
					Overall	84,38%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	-,0442	,1206	,1341	1	,7142	,0000	,9568
INDIVIDU	,8250	,1382	35,6330	1	,0000	,1858	2,2819
EGALITAR	-,6093	,0983	38,4278	1	,0000	-,1934	,5437
FATALISM	-,4757	,1344	12,5369	1	,0004	-,1040	,6214
AGE	,0097	,0059	2,7155	1	,0994	,0271	1,0097
GENDER(1)	,0327	,1892	,0298	1	,8628	,0000	1,0332
INCOME_P	,1217	,0483	6,3458	1	,0118	,0668	1,1294
LABOR_D(1)	-,5919	,3514	2,8378	1	,0921	-,0293	,5533
GOCHURCH(1)	-,3879	,2241	2,9973	1	,0834	-,0320	,6785
FARMER_D(1)	-,0947	,4416	,0460	1	,8302	,0000	,9096
MIDDLE_D(1)	,9552	,2646	13,0293	1	,0003	,1064	2,5991
Constant	-3,0904	,3888	63,1808	1	,0000		

```
--> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_frp
--> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
--> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
--> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
--> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .
```

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
Number of selected cases: 1414
Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
Number rejected because of missing data: 204
Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable.. PREF_FRP Progress Party
Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function
-2 Log Likelihood 523,63088
* Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
INDIVIDU Individualism
EGALITAR Egalitarianism
FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 460,936
Goodness of Fit 1244,650

		Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square		62,695	4	,0000
Improvement		62,695	4	,0000
Classification Table for PREF_FRP				
		Predicted		
		Other	Frp	Percent Correct
		O	Ö	
Observed		Ø	Ø	
Other	O	1141	1	99,91%
		Ø	Ø	
Frp	F	67	1	1,47%
		Ø	Ø	
		Overall		94,38%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,3426	,1783	3,6933	1	,0546	,0569	1,4086
INDIVIDU	,7788	,2168	12,9019	1	,0003	,1443	2,1788
EGALITAR	-,7421	,1373	29,2113	1	,0000	-,2280	,4761
FATALISM	,1173	,1911	,3771	1	,5391	,0000	1,1245
Constant	-3,2428	,1689	368,8360	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. AGE Alder
 GENDER Gender (f=1)
 INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
 LABOR_D
 GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
 FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
 MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 6 because
 parameter estimates changed by less than ,001

-2 Log Likelihood 447,043
 Goodness of Fit 1333,198

		Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square		13,893	7	,0531
Improvement		13,893	7	,0531

		Predicted			
		Other	Frp	Percent Correct	
		O	Ö		
Observed		Ø	Ø		
Other	O	1141	1	99,91%	
		Ø	Ø		
Frp	F	65	3	4,41%	
		Ø	Ø		
		Overall		94,55%	

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	,4547	,1896	5,7511	1	,0165	,0902	1,5757
INDIVIDU	,7253	,2235	10,5310	1	,0012	,1360	2,0653
EGALITAR	-,7241	,1486	23,7539	1	,0000	-,2172	,4848
FATALISM	,1394	,1991	,4905	1	,4837	,0000	1,1496
AGE	-,0141	,0091	2,3843	1	,1226	-,0289	,9860
GENDER(1)	-,6425	,3090	4,3224	1	,0376	-,0710	,5260
INCOME_P	-,0576	,0743	,6025	1	,4376	,0000	,9440
LABOR_D(1)	-,1614	,4048	,1589	1	,6902	,0000	,8510

GOCHURCH(1)	- ,5846	,3995	2,1409	1	,1434	- ,0175	,5573
FARMER_D(1)	,2337	,5656	,1707	1	,6795	,0000	1,2633
MIDDLE_D(1)	- ,3546	,3657	,9403	1	,3322	,0000	,7015
Constant	-1,9035	,4974	14,6452	1	,0001		

```
--> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_don
--> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
--> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
--> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
--> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
--> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .
```

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
 Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number rejected because of missing data: 204
 Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable.. PREF_DON Dont Know
 Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function
 -2 Log Likelihood 1135,2952
 * Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
 INDIVIDU Individualism
 EGALITAR Egalitarianism
 FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 3 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 1129,102
 Goodness of Fit 1206,228

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	6,193	4	,1852
Improvement	6,193	4	,1852

Classification Table for PREF_DON

		Predicted			Percent Correct
		Other	Dont Know	Percent	
		O	Ö	D	
Observed	Other	Ö	994	Ö	100,00%
	Dont Know	Ö	216	Ö	0,00%
				Overall	82,15%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp(B)
HIERARCH	- ,0946	,1005	,8858	1	,3466	,0000	,9098
INDIVIDU	,0484	,1117	,1881	1	,6645	,0000	1,0496
EGALITAR	,0678	,0952	,5062	1	,4768	,0000	1,0701
FATALISM	,2451	,1141	4,6149	1	,0317	,0480	1,2777
Constant	-1,5350	,0757	410,8239	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. AGE Alder
 GENDER Gender (f=1)
 INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
 LABOR_D
 GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
 FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
 MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 1095,712
 Goodness of Fit 1198,686

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	33,391	7	,0000
Improvement	33,391	7	,0000

Classification Table for PREF_DON

		Predicted			Percent Correct	
		Other	Dont Know	Other		
Observed	Other	0	ö	D		
		994	ö	0	ö	100,00%
Dont Know	D	ö	216	ö	0	,00%
		ö	ö	ö	ö	ö
		Overall			82,15%	

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	-,0149	,1086	,0189	1	,8906	,0000	,9852
INDIVIDU	,0904	,1141	,6281	1	,4280	,0000	1,0946
EGALITAR	,0493	,0999	,2437	1	,6216	,0000	1,0506
FATALISM	,3194	,1207	7,0029	1	,0081	,0666	1,3763
AGE	-,0105	,0053	3,8644	1	,0493	-,0406	,9896
GENDER(1)	,7200	,1721	17,4930	1	,0000	,1171	2,0545
INCOME_P	,0918	,0468	3,8534	1	,0496	,0405	1,0961
LABOR_D(1)	,4692	,2573	3,3252	1	,0682	,0343	1,5987
GOCHURCH(1)	-,4215	,2060	4,1861	1	,0408	-,0440	,6561
FARMER_D(1)	-,7920	,5328	2,2095	1	,1372	-,0136	,4529
MIDDLE_D(1)	,3345	,2362	2,0062	1	,1567	,0023	1,3973
Constant	-2,0231	,3346	36,5531	1	,0000		

Preceding task required 20,32 seconds elapsed.

ááááá
 -->
 -> LOGISTIC REGRESSION pref_won
 -> /METHOD=ENTER hierarch individu egalitar fatalism /METHOD=ENTER age
 -> gender income_p labor_d gochurch farmer_d middle_d
 -> /CONTRAST (gender)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (labor_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
 -> (gochurch)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST (farmer_d)=Indicator(1) /CONTRAST
 -> (middle_d)=Indicator(1)
 -> /CRITERIA PIN(.05) POUT(.10) ITERATE(20) .

Total number of cases: 1414 (Unweighted)
 Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number of unselected cases: 0

Number of selected cases: 1414
 Number rejected because of missing data: 204
 Number of cases included in the analysis: 1210

Dependent Variable.. PREF_WON Wont Vote
 Beginning Block Number 0. Initial Log Likelihood Function
 -2 Log Likelihood 489,20136
 * Constant is included in the model.

Beginning Block Number 1. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. HIERARCH Hierarchy
 INDIVIDU Individualism
 EGALITAR Egalitarianism
 FATALISM Fatalism

Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 470,082
 Goodness of Fit 1154,841

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	19,119	4	,0007
Improvement	19,119	4	,0007

Classification Table for PREF_WON

		Predicted			Percent Correct	
		Other	Wont vote	W		
Observed	Other	Ø	Ø	Ø	100,00%	
	Wont vote	Ø	62	Ø		
		Ø		Ø		
		Overall		94,88%		

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp(B)
HIERARCH	-,2867	,1756	2,6673	1	,1024	-,0369	,7507
INDIVIDU	,1254	,1942	,4169	1	,5185	,0000	1,1336
EGALITAR	,1177	,1740	,4576	1	,4988	,0000	1,1249
FATALISM	,8005	,2011	15,8445	1	,0001	,1682	2,2266
Constant	-3,0579	,1470	432,7275	1	,0000		

Beginning Block Number 2. Method: Enter

Variable(s) Entered on Step Number
 1.. AGE Alder
 GENDER Gender (f=1)
 INCOME_P Personlig brutto inntekt
 LABOR_D
 GOCHURCH Goes frequently to Church
 FARMER_D farmers, fishermen, agric.
 MIDDLE_D Dummy for Labor Identity

Estimation terminated at iteration number 8 because
 Log Likelihood decreased by less than ,01 percent.

-2 Log Likelihood 447,541
 Goodness of Fit 1130,044

	Chi-Square	df	Significance
Model Chi-Square	22,541	7	,0020
Improvement	22,541	7	,0020

Classification Table for PREF_WON
 Predicted
 Other Wont vote Percent Correct

		O	Ö	W	
Observed					
Other	O	1148	0	0	100,00%
Wont vote	W	62	0	0	,00%
				Overall	94,88%

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig	R	Exp (B)
HIERARCH	-,1144	,1854	,3810	1	,5371	,0000	,8919
INDIVIDU	,0957	,2013	,2258	1	,6346	,0000	1,1004
EGALITAR	,1163	,1818	,4093	1	,5223	,0000	1,1233
FATALISM	,7949	,2112	14,1711	1	,0002	,1609	2,2142
AGE	-,0161	,0093	3,0141	1	,0825	-,0464	,9841
GENDER(1)	-,2368	,2835	,6977	1	,4036	,0000	,7892
INCOME_P	-,1547	,0806	3,6832	1	,0550	-,0598	,8567
LABOR_D(1)	-,5140	,3712	1,9172	1	,1662	,0000	,5981
GOCHURCH(1)	-,4436	,3810	1,3557	1	,2443	,0000	,6417
FARMER_D(1)	-6,4737	12,9463	,2500	1	,6170	,0000	,0015
MIDDLE_D(1)	-,5880	,3394	3,0013	1	,0832	-,0462	,5554
Constant	-1,2587	,4623	7,4131	1	,0065		

Preceding task required 27,62 seconds elapsed.

AN EXAMPLE OF HOW THE 3D GRAPHS IN Synthetic Individual Approach ARE MADE:

Logit analysis is performed in SPSS, and the results are solved in Excel, where also the graphs are drawn. This is similar to a simulation. It is possible to change the values given in the far left column, and see how the probabilities change in the graph.

A Comparison of the Number of Cultural Biases Supported in Sequential and Synthetic Individual Approaches.

CULTB_NR Number of Cultural Biases by CULTQC16 Cultural Biases in 16 Culsters

	Count	CULTQC16											Row Total	Page 1 of 2
		e	hiEf	none	hE	f	HEF	He	hIe	IEF	HIE	hie		
CULTB_NR	1,00	28	53	13	42	19	17	36	1		54	10	371	
mono													47,3	
bi	2,00	16	13	38	14	21		1	16	4	32		252	
													32,1	
tri	3,00			21					16	20	4		108	
													13,8	
quadra	4,00			1						50			53	
													6,8	
(Continued)	Column Total	44	66	73	56	40	17	37	33	74	90	10	784	
	Total	5,6	8,4	9,3	7,1	5,1	2,2	4,7	4,2	9,4	11,5	1,3	100,0	

CULTB_NR Number of Cultural Biases by CULTQC16 Cultural Biases in 16 Culsters

	Count	CULTQC16						Row Total	Page 2 of 2
		HIE	hiE	Ief	F	HIEF			
CULTB_NR	1,00	12	13	14	15	16		371	
mono		5	32	18	33	10		47,3	
bi	2,00		13	31	23	30		252	
								32,1	
tri	3,00			25	3	19		108	
								13,8	
quadra	4,00			2				53	
								6,8	
Column Total		5	45	76	59	59	784		
Total		,6	5,7	9,7	7,5	7,5	100,0		

Number of Missing Observations: 630