Cultural Theory Specified

- The Coherent, Sequential

and Synthetic Individual Approaches

Eero Olli

May 1995

Graduate Thesis

Departement of Comparative Politics

University of Bergen

ABSTRACT:

Within cultural theory there exists an internal debate about the relationship between the individual and culture. I take my starting point in this unclear relation between the individual and culture, and present three interpretations of cultural theory: The Coherent Individual, The Sequential Individual and The Synthetic Individual. These three interpretations differ in the way individuals' cultural biases are affected by context, and in the way rejection of a cultural bias is treated. In the second chapter I present my research design and the survey I use as data material, develop an apparatus of measurement for cultural biases and examine their reliability and validity. In each of the three following chapters, I run an analysis of individuals' party preferences based on the different specifications (i.e., Coherent, Sequential, and Synthetic) of the relation between individual and culture. Theoretical assumptions and expectations constrain the analyses in different ways; therefore, none of the analyses alone can answer all of the questions, and, further, each specification must be evaluated on its own premises. To examine each of these specifications, it is necessary to use statistical analyses that resemble the **structure** of the current specification in order to see if the preferences could have been created by the mechanisms described by this version of the theory. The final chapter is a systematic comparison of the three analyses. The empirical results indicate that individuals often support more than just one cultural bias, and that rejection of a cultural bias has an effect on individuals' party preference. Cultural theory, interpreted as the Synthetic Individual Approach, proved to be a powerfull tool for prediction of party preferences.

ii

LIST OF CONTENT:

Abstract		ii
List of F	igures	v
List of T	ables	vi
Acknowl	edgements	viii
Culture	and Individual	1
	1.1 Introduction to Cultural Theory	4
	1.2 Rejecting and Combining Cultural Biases	10
	1.3 The Coherent Individual	16
	1.4 The Sequential Individual	19
	1.5 The Synthesized Individual	22
The Pro	blem of Measuring Cultural Bias	. 26
	Empirical Work	27
	The Data: a Survey	29
	The Three Versions of Cultural Bias	30
	The Dependent Variable: Party Preference	31
2.1	Methodological considerations:	33
	Statistical Models and Description	33
	Mathematical and Theoretical Structures	35
	Classical Measurement Theory	37
2.2	Validity and Reliability	38
	The Content Validity of Cultural Bias Indicators	41
	Cultural Bias Indicators and Construct Validity	49
	Identification of the Four Emerging Dimensions	49
	Reliability of the Cultural Bias Indicators	55
The Coh	erent Individual	. 63
3.1	Assumptions for the Coherent Individual Restated	63
3.2	Establishing the Coherent Individual's Cultural Bias	64
	Grendstad's Estimate of the Relative Strengths of the Cultural Biases	67
3.3	The Coherent Individual and Social Background	71
	Age	72
	Education	75
	Social Position	76
3.4	Party Preference and The Coherent Individual	81
	Party Preference and The Coherent Individual in 1993	81
	Party Preference and Cultural Bias by Grendstad (1995)	85
3.5	Summary	88

The Se	quential Individual	90
4.	1 Recognizing Effects of Sequential Cultural Bias	91
4.	2 Establishing the Sequential Individual's Cultural Bias	93
4.	3 The Sequential Individual's Social Background	97
	The Sequential Individual's Age	97
	The Sequential Individual and Education	100
	The Sequential Individual and Social Position	104
4.	4 Party Preference and Interaction Effects	107
	Monocultural Bias and Party Preference	108
	The Lack of Interaction Effects	112
	Bicultural Biases and Party Preference	116
	Tendency Towards Confusion for the Multi-Biased Respondents	124
4.	5 Summary	126
The Sy	nthetic Individual	128
5.	1 Measuring Synthetic Cultural Bias	131
5.	2 The Effects of Synthetic Cultural Bias	132
5.	3 Synthetic Individual's Social Background	133
	Age and Cultural Combinations	133
	Education	136
	Age and Education	139
5.	4 Party Preference and Cultural Bias	143
	Parties Choice of Coalition Partners	144
	Cultural Biases and Individual Level Effects - a Cluster Analysis	151
	A Test of Cultural Biases' Additivity	157
	Individuals' Party Preference and Cultural Bias - a Logit Analysis	161
5.	5 Summary	176
Discus	sion	177
6.	1 Summary	178
6.	2 Do Individuals have One or Several Cultural Biases?	184
	Coherent	185
	Sequential	185
	Synthetic	186
	Discussion	188
6.	3 Are Cultural Biases' Effects Additive?	189
	Sequential	190
	Synthetic	192
	Discussion	194
6	4 How Important is Rejection of Cultural Biases?	195
	Sequential	196
	Synthetic	197
6	5 Conclusion	199
	Comparison	199

Conclusions	204
Appendix	206
Bibliography	231

v

LIST OF FIGURES:

The Five Cultural Biases Mapped into Grid-Group Space	6
The Relative Sizes of Coherent Cultural Bias Groups	65
Who Are the Supporters of a Cultural Bias?	95
Probability of having Two instead of One Bias for Three Age Groups	
and Education in Years.	101
Probability of having Three instead of Two Biases for Three Age Groups	
and Education in Years.	102
Probability of having Four instead of Three Biases for Three Age Groups	
and Education in Years.	103
Mono-Hierarhcy and Party Preference in Adj.Res.	109
Mono-Individualism and Party Preferances in Adj.res.	110
Mono-Egalitarianism and Party Preference in Adj.Res.	111
Mono-Fatalism and Party Preference in Adj.Res.	111
Hierarchy or Individualism and Party Preference	118
Hierarchy or Egalitarianism and Party Preference	118
Hierarchy or Fatalism and Party Preference	120
Individualism or Egalitarianism and Party Preference	121
Individualism or Fatalism and Party Preferance	121
Egalitarianism or Fatalism and Party Preference	122
Probability of Voting for Number of Cultural Biases and 6 Age Groups.	125
Median support for Cultural Biases in 6 Age Groups.	134
Scatterplot of Age and Sum of Synthetic Cultural Bias	135
Typical Synthetic Cultural Bias for 6 Educational Groups	137
A Sunflowerplot of Sum of Synthetic Cultural Bias and Years of Education	139
SCB Clusters ordered by mean Education lenght and Age	141
Sum of SCB for Educational Groups, a Scatterplot with Lowess smoothed Line	142
A Schematic Overview of Variables in Logit Analysis	162
Probability of Preferring SV for Rest of the Sample	164
Probability of Preferring SV for Respondents who Attend Church Frequently	164
Probability of Preferring DNA for Respondents with Labor Identity	165
Probability of Preferring DNA for Respondents without Labor Identity	165
Probability of Preferring Sp for Farmers, Fishermen etc.	167
Probability of Preferring Sp for the Rest of the Sample	167
Probability of Preferring Krf for Respondents who Attend Church Frequently	169
Probability of Preferring Krf for Respondents who don't Attend Church Frequen	t l \$69
	 The Five Cultural Biases Mapped into Grid-Group Space The Relative Sizes of Coherent Cultural Bias Groups Who Are the Supporters of a Cultural Bias? Probability of having Two instead of One Bias for Three Age Groups and Education in Years. Probability of having Four instead of Two Biases for Three Age Groups and Education in Years. Probability of having Four instead of Three Biases for Three Age Groups and Education in Years. Probability of having Four instead of Three Biases for Three Age Groups and Education in Years. Mono-Hierarhey and Party Preference in Adj.Res. Mono-Fatalism and Party Preference in Adj.Res. Mono-Fatalism and Party Preference in Adj.Res. Hierarchy or Individualism and Party Preference Hierarchy or Egalitarianism and Party Preference Hierarchy or Egalitarianism and Party Preference Hierarchy or Egalitarianism and Party Preference Individualism or Fatalism and Party Preference Egalitarianism or Fatalism and Party Preference Probability of Voting for Number of Cultural Biases and 6 Age Groups. Scatterplot of Age and Sum of Synthetic Cultural Bias Typical Synthetic Cultural Bias for 6 Educational Groups A Sunflowerplot of Sum of Synthetic Cultural Bias and Years of Education SCB Clusters ordered by mean Education lenght and Age Sum of SCB for Educational Groups, a Scatterplot with Lowess smoothed Line A Schematic Overview of Variables in Logit Analysis Probability of Preferring SV for Rest of the Sample Probability of Preferring SV for Respondents with Attend Church Frequently Probability of Preferring SV for Respondents with Labor Identity Probability of Preferring SP for Farmers, Fishermen etc. Probability of Preferring SP for Farmers, Fishermen etc. Probability of Preferring SP for Farmers, Fishermen etc. Probability of Preferring SP

Figure 5.16	Probability of Preferring H for Respondents with Middle Class Identity	170
Figure 5.17	Probability of Preferring H for Respondents without Middle Class Identity	170
Figure 5.18	Probability of Preferring Frp for Women	172
Figure 5.19	Probability of Preferring Frp for Men	172
Figure 5.20	Women's Probability of Not to know what to vote	173
Figure 5.21	Men's Probability of Not to Know to vote	173
Figure 5.22	Probability of Not Voting for Low Income Respondents	174
Figure 5.23	Probability of Not Voting for High Income Respondents	174

LIST OF TABLES:

Table 1.1	Three sets of assumptions for cultural theory	16
Table 2.1	The Questions Measuring Cultural Bias, and Their Frequency Distributions.	42
Table 2.2	Principal Components, Equamax rotated Factor matrix.	51
Table 2.3	Reliabilities of the different scales	57
Table 2.4	Correlations between different scales and the Hierarchy variables	59
Table 2.5	Overview of the Questions Included in each Cultural Bias Scale	61
Table 3.1	Support for the Rejected Cultural Biases and Coherent Individuals	66
Table 3.2	Grendstad's Estimates of Cultures' Relative Strengths in Norway (1995)	68
Table 3.3	A Comparison of Grendstad's and My Estimates of the Relative Sizes of the Cult	ures1
Table 3.4	Age and the Coherent Individuals' Cultural Bias	73
Table 3.6	Three Age Groups and Coherent Individuals	74
Table 3.7	Level of Education and the Coherent Individual's Cultural Bias	75
Table 3.7	Coherent Individuals Social Position in Column %	77
Table 3.8	Social Position and Coherent Individual's Cultural Bias in Adjusted Residuals	78
Table 3.9	Social Position and Coherent Individuals for respondents older than 60 Years.	79
Table 3.10	The Coherent Individuals and Party Preference, Column %.	82
Table 3.11	Cultural bias and Party Preference, adj. res. 1993	83
Table 3.12	Cultural biases and Party Preference from Grendstad	85
Table 4.1	Sequential Individuals' Cultural Bias Combinations	96
Table 4.2	Sequential Cultural Bias Combinations, Mono, Bi, Tri	
	and Qaudracultural Bias and the Average Age.	99
Table 4.3	Education in Years, Sequential Combinations of Cultural Biases	
	and the Number of Cultural Biases	100
Table 4.4	Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA):	
	Number of Cultural Biases with Age, Education, and Social Position	105
Table 4.5	Different Relations between Mono- and Bicultural Biases' Effects.	
	Relations Weakening Sequential Individual Approach Marked with Da	urk1.14
Table 4.6	Different Relations between Mono- and Bicultural Biases' Effects.	
	Frequensies Calculated from Figures 4.9 to 4.14.	123
Table 5.1	Cluster Centers from The Cultural Bias Combinations	140

Table 5.2	Party preference and cultural biases	145
Table 5.3	A Boolean Presentation of Party Supporters Mean Positions	146
Table 5.4	Possible Coalitions based on Cultural Biases	150
Table 5.5	SCB Clusters and Party Preference in Adj. Res.	152
Table 5.6	Test of non-additivity for Cultural Biases by using 16	
	Clusters to represent the non-additive element in the model.	159
Table 6.1	Theoretical Expectations for Each of the Approaches	178
Table 6.2	Number of Biases Supported by Sequential Individuals	186
Table 6.3	Synthetic Individuals in Clusters Ordered by the Number of Supported Biases	187
Table 6.4	Comparison of the Sequential and Synthetic Individual Approaches fit to the	
	expectations of biases effect on party preference.	191
Table 6.5	Theoretical Expectations for each Approach and Results from	
	the Comparison of the Empirical Analyzes.	201

Acknow ledgements

I want to thank, especially, my wife Deborah Miller for enduring my absence and moodynes during the writing. Without her encouraging support this thesis would never have taken form. I also want to tank her for proof-reading the manuscript. I have done several changes since and all mistakes are my own.

I want also thank thank my advisor Per Selle and Gunnar Grendstad for their time and for the constructive critizism they have given during the process.

LOS-center has provided me with an office where I have had the both the privacy and support need for the writing.

NSD have provided me with the data. NSD is neither responsible nor accountable for my application or analysis of these data.

I want to thank everybody who has take their time to listen to my ideas and frustrations, I want specially to mention Kristin Strømsnes, Ole-Johan Eikeland, Marcus Buck, Harald Grimen, Simen Innvær, and everybody at Eldoraro.

Bergen, May 1995

E.O.